

1 KAREN P. HEWITT  
2 United States Attorney  
3 WILLIAM A. HALL, JR.  
4 Assistant U.S. Attorney  
5 California State Bar No. Pending  
United States Attorney's Office  
880 Front Street, Room 6293  
San Diego, California 92101-8893  
Telephone: (619) 557-7046/(619) 235-2757 (Fax)  
Email: william.a.hall@usdoj.gov

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

I

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

18 The Defendant, Juan Vasquez-Villa (hereinafter “Defendant”), was charged by a grand jury  
19 on November 9, 2007, with violating 8 U.S.C. § 1326, deported alien found in the United States.  
20 Defendant was arraigned on the Indictment on November 13, 2007, and entered a plea of not  
21 guilty.

III

## **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

24 Defendant was apprehended on the morning of August 5, 2007, by a Border Patrol Agent  
25 ("BPA") 200 yards north of the United States/Mexico International Boundary and approximately  
26 two miles east of the San Ysidro, California Port of Entry. There, at approximately 2:30 p.m. that

1 day, a BPA encountered a group of four individuals attempting to conceal themselves in  
2 surrounding brush in an area known as “Washer Woman’s.” The BPA identified himself to the  
3 four individuals, one of whom was Defendant. There, Defendant admitted that he was a citizen  
4 of Mexico with no documents entitling him to enter or remain in the United States.

5 Defendant was transported to the Imperial Beach, California Border Patrol Station's  
6 processing center. At the center, BPAs used Defendant's fingerprints to perform a computerized  
7 check of Defendant's criminal and immigration history.

**B. DEFENDANT'S CRIMINAL AND IMMIGRATION HISTORY**

19 Defendant's was last removed to Mexico on August 2, 2007.

III

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

## **A. DISCOVERY REQUESTS AND MOTION TO PRESERVE EVIDENCE**

**1. The Government Has or Will Disclose Information Subject To Disclosure Under Rule 16(a)(1)(A) and (B) Of The Federal Rules Of Criminal Procedure**

The government has disclosed, or will disclose well in advance of trial, any statements subject to discovery under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) (substance of Defendant's oral statements

1      *in response to government interrogation*) and 16(a)(1)(B) (Defendant's relevant written or  
2      recorded statements, written records containing substance of Defendant's oral statements *in*  
3      *response to government interrogation*, and Defendant's grand jury testimony).

a. The Government Will Comply With Rule 16(a)(1)(D)

5           Defendant has already been provided with his or her own “rap” sheet and the government  
6 will produce any additional information it uncovers regarding Defendant’s criminal record. Any  
7 subsequent or prior similar acts of Defendant that the government intends to introduce under Rule  
8 404(b) of the Federal Rules of Evidence will be provided, along with any accompanying reports,  
9 at a reasonable time in advance of trial.

b. The Government Will Comply With Rule 16(a)(1)(E)

11 The government will permit Defendant to inspect and copy or photograph all books, papers,  
12 documents, data, photographs, tangible objects, buildings or places, or portions thereof, that are  
13 material to the preparation of Defendant's defense or are intended for use by the government as  
14 evidence-in-chief at trial or were obtained from or belong to Defendant.

15           Reasonable efforts will be made to preserve relevant physical evidence which is in the  
16 custody and control of the investigating agency and the prosecution, with the following exceptions:  
17 drug evidence, with the exception of a representative sample, is routinely destroyed after 60 days,  
18 and vehicles are routinely and periodically sold at auction. Records of radio transmissions, if they  
19 existed, are frequently kept for only a short period of time and may no longer be available.  
20 Counsel should contact the Assistant United States Attorney assigned to the case two weeks before  
21 the scheduled trial date and the Assistant will make arrangements with the case agent for counsel  
22 to view all evidence within the government's possession.

c. The Government Will Comply With Rule 16(a)(1)(F)

24 The government will permit Defendant to inspect and copy or photograph any results or  
25 reports of physical or mental examinations, and of scientific tests or experiments, or copies thereof,  
26 that are within the possession of the government, and by the exercise of due diligence may become

known to the attorney for the government and are material to the preparation of the defense or are intended for use by the government as evidence-in-chief at the trial. Counsel for Defendant should contact the Assistant United States Attorney assigned to the case and the Assistant will make arrangements with the case agent for counsel to view all evidence within the government's possession.

d. The Government Will Comply With Its Obligations Under Brady v. Maryland

The government is well aware of and will fully perform its duty under Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963), and United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97 (1976), to disclose exculpatory evidence within its possession that is material to the issue of guilt or punishment. Defendant, however, is not entitled to all evidence known or believed to exist that is, or may be, favorable to the accused, or that pertains to the credibility of the government's case. As stated in United States v. Gardner, 611 F.2d 770 (9th Cir. 1980), it must be noted that:

[T]he prosecution does not have a constitutional duty to disclose every bit of information that might affect the jury's decision; it need only disclose information favorable to the defense that meets the appropriate standard of materiality.

611 F.2d at 774-775 (citations omitted). See also United States v. Sukumolachan, 610 F.2d 685, 687 (9th Cir. 1980) (the government is not required to create exculpatory material that does not exist); United States v. Flores, 540 F.2d 432, 438 (9th Cir. 1976) (Brady does not create any pretrial privileges not contained in the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure).

e. Discovery Regarding Government Witnesses

(1) Agreements. The government has disclosed or will disclose the terms of any agreements by Government agents, employees, or attorneys with witnesses that testify at trial. Such information will be provided at or before the time of the filing of the Government's

1 trial memorandum.<sup>1/</sup> The government will comply with its obligations to disclose impeachment  
 2 evidence under Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972).

3 (2) Bias or Prejudice. The government has provided or will provide  
 4 information related to the bias, prejudice or other motivation to lie of government trial witnesses  
 5 as required in Napue v. Illinois, 360 U.S. 264 (1959).

6 (3) Criminal Convictions. The government has produced or will  
 7 produce any criminal convictions of government witnesses plus any *material* criminal acts which  
 8 did not result in conviction. The government is not aware that any prospective witness is under  
 9 criminal investigation.

10 (4) Ability to Perceive. The government has produced or will produce  
 11 any evidence that the ability of a government trial witness to perceive, communicate or tell the  
 12 truth is impaired or that such witnesses have ever used narcotics or other controlled substances,  
 13 or are alcoholics.

14 (5) Witness List. The government will endeavor to provide Defendant  
 15 with a list of all witnesses which it intends to call in its case-in-chief at the time the government's  
 16 trial memorandum is filed, although delivery of such a list is not required. See United States v.  
 17 Dischner, 960 F.2d 870 (9th Cir. 1992); United States v. Culter, 806 F.2d 933, 936 (9th Cir. 1986);  
 18 United States v. Mills, 810 F.2d 907, 910 (9th Cir. 1987). Defendant, however, is not entitled to  
 19 the production of addresses or phone numbers of possible government witnesses. See United  
 20 States v. Thompson, 493 F.2d 305, 309 (9th Cir. 1977), cert. denied, 419 U.S. 834 (1974).  
 21 Defendant has already received access to the names of potential witnesses in this case in the  
 22 investigative reports previously provided to him or her.

23  
 24  
 25 <sup>1/</sup> As with all other offers by the government to produce discovery earlier than it is required  
 26 to do, the offer is made without prejudice. If, as trial approaches, the government is not prepared  
 27 to make early discovery production, or if there is a strategic reason not to do so as to certain  
 discovery, the government reserves the right to withhold the requested material until the time it  
 is required to be produced pursuant to discovery laws and rules.

10 (8) Review of Personnel Files. The government has requested or will  
11 request a review of the personnel files of all federal law enforcement individuals who will be called  
12 as witnesses in this case for Brady material. The government will request that counsel for the  
13 appropriate federal law enforcement agency conduct such review. United States v. Herring, 83  
14 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 1996); see, also, United States v. Jennings, 960 F.2d 1488, 1492 (9th Cir.  
15 1992); United States v. Dominguez-Villa, 954 F.2d 562 (9th Cir. 1992).

16 Pursuant to United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991) and United States v.  
17 Cadet, 727 F.2d 1452 (9th Cir. 1984), the United States agrees to “disclose information favorable  
18 to the defense that meets the appropriate standard of materiality . . .” United States v. Cadet, 727  
19 F.2d at 1467, 1468. Further, if counsel for the United States is uncertain about the materiality of  
20 the information within its possession in such personnel files, the information will be submitted to  
21 the Court for in camera inspection and review.

22 (9) Government Witness Statements. Production of witness statements  
23 is governed by the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, and need occur only after the witness testifies  
24 on direct examination. United States v. Taylor, 802 F.2d 1108, 1118 (9th Cir. 1986); United States  
25 v. Mills, 641 F.2d 785, 790 (9th Cir. 1981)). Indeed, even material believed to be exculpatory and  
26 therefore subject to disclosure under the Brady doctrine, if contained in a witness statement subject

1 to the Jencks Act, need not be revealed until such time as the witness statement is disclosed under  
 2 the Act. See United States v. Bernard, 623 F.2d 551, 556-57 (9th Cir. 1979).

3 The government reserves the right to withhold the statements of any particular witnesses  
 4 it deems necessary until after the witness testifies. Otherwise, the government will disclose the  
 5 statements of witnesses at the time of the filing of the government's trial memorandum, provided  
 6 that defense counsel has complied with Defendant's obligations under Federal Rules of Criminal  
 7 Procedure 12.1, 12.2, and 16 and 26.2 and provided that defense counsel turn over all "reverse  
 8 Jencks" statements at that time.

9

10 f. The Government Objects To The Full Production Of Agents' Handwritten  
Notes At This Time

---

11 Although the government has no objection to the preservation of agents' handwritten notes,  
 12 it objects to requests for full production for immediate examination and inspection. If certain  
 13 rough notes become relevant during any evidentiary proceeding, those notes will be made  
 14 available.

15 Prior production of these notes is not necessary because they are not "statements" within  
 16 the meaning of the Jencks Act unless they comprise both a substantially verbatim narrative of a  
 17 witness' assertions *and* they have been approved or adopted by the witness. United States v.  
 18 Spencer, 618 F.2d 605, 606-607 (9th Cir. 1980); see also United States v. Griffin, 659 F.2d 932,  
 19 936-938 (9th Cir. 1981).

20 g. All Investigatory Notes and Arrest Reports

21 The government objects to any request for production of all arrest reports, investigator's  
 22 notes, memos from arresting officers, and prosecution reports pertaining to Defendant. Such  
 23 reports, except to the extent that they include Brady material or the statements of Defendant, are  
 24 protected from discovery by Rule 16(a)(2) as "reports . . . made by . . . Government agents in  
 25 connection with the investigation or prosecution of the case."

1        Although agents' reports may have already been produced to the defense, the government  
 2 is not required to produce such reports, except to the extent they contain Brady or other such  
 3 material. Furthermore, the government is not required to disclose all evidence it has or to render  
 4 an accounting to Defendant of the investigative work it has performed. Moore v. Illinois, 408 U.S.  
 5 786, 795 (1972); see United States v. Gardner, 611 F.2d 770, 774-775 (9th Cir. 1980).

6                h.        Expert Witnesses.

7        Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G), at or about the time of filing its trial  
 8 memorandum, the government will provide the defense with notice of any expert witnesses the  
 9 testimony of whom the government intends to use under Rules 702, 703, or 705 of the Fed. R. of  
 10 Evidence in its case-in-chief. Such notice will describe the witnesses' opinions, the bases and the  
 11 reasons therefor, and the witnesses' qualifications. Reciprocally, the government requests that the  
 12 defense provide notice of its expert witnesses pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(b)(1)(C).

13                i.        Information Which May Result in Lower Sentence.

14        Defendant has claimed or may claim that the government must disclose information about  
 15 any cooperation or any attempted cooperation with the government as well as any other  
 16 information affecting Defendant's sentencing guidelines because such information is discoverable  
 17 under Brady v. Maryland. The government respectfully contends that it has no such disclosure  
 18 obligations under Brady.

19        The government is not obliged under Brady to furnish a defendant with information which  
 20 he already knows. United States v. Taylor, 802 F.2d 1108, 1118 n.5 (9th Cir. 1986), cert. denied,  
 21 479 U.S. 1094 (1987); United States v. Prior, 546 F.2d 1254, 1259 (5th Cir. 1977). Brady is a rule  
 22 of disclosure. There can be no violation of Brady if the evidence is already known to Defendant.

23        Assuming that Defendant did not already possess the information about factors which  
 24 might affect their respective guideline range, the government would not be required to provide  
 25 information bearing on Defendant's mitigation of punishment until after Defendant's conviction  
 26 or plea of guilty and prior to his sentencing date. "No [Brady] violation occurs if the evidence is  
 27

1      disclosed to the defendant at a time when the disclosure remains of value.” United States v.  
2      Juvenile Male, 864 F.2d 641 (9th Cir. 1988).

3      **B.      NO OPPOSITION TO LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS**

4      The United States does not object to the granting of leave to allow Defendant to file further  
5      motions, as long as the order applies equally to both parties and additional motions are based on  
6      newly discovered evidence or discovery provided by the United States subsequent to the instant  
7      motion at issue.

8      **IV**

9      **CONCLUSION**

10     For the foregoing reasons, the government respectfully requests that Defendant’s motions,  
11    except where not opposed, be denied.

12     DATED: February 25, 2008.

13     Respectfully submitted,

14     KAREN P. HEWITT  
15     United States Attorney

16     s/ William A. Hall, Jr.  
17     WILLIAM A. HALL, JR.  
18     Assistant United States Attorney

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28